



## **Carbon Land Use Change Projects - Tool for Steppe Restoration**

# STEPPE CONSERVATION



## × Opportunities:

- + Little virgin steppe left
- + 5 mill ha degraded land in Ukraine alone
- + Steppe productivity goes hand in hand with biodiversity
- + Extensive beef production is economic
- + Agricultural management is a perspective for nature protection



# ECONET AS TOOL

---



- ✘ ECONET regional scheme development -
  - + legal and organisational frame for large scale steppe restoration through formation of steppe pastures
  - + For prioritisation of input of means
  
- ✘ Steppe grassland and degraded land are necessary resources to be developed as corridors for virgin steppe



# CARBON



- ✘ Healthy steppe soil has 8-10% humus
- ✘ Yearly reduction of humus by arable farming 0.1%
- ✘ Present humus 2-4 %
- ✘ Result
  - + Reduced water capacity
  - + Soil structure worsening
  - + Increased erosion and water pollution
  - + Reduced productivity



# CARBON SEQUESTRATION



- ✘ Potential for carbon fixation increase 1.5 – 4 ton /year
- ✘ CO<sub>2</sub> fixation 2.5 – 5.5 ton per year
- ✘ Increased productivity
- ✘ Less vulnerable for drought
- ✘ Possible for arable farming and pasturing



# KYOTO PROTOCOL

---



- ✘ Carbon tradable **by land use change**, not by agricultural improvement
- ✘ Tradable volume minimal 100.000 ton/year



# CARBON FINANCING



## × Limitations

- + Only large quantities tradable
- + Global Area needed 100.000 ha
- + Difficult to organise social
- + Dispersed ownership
- + Little trust in authorities
- + Only for JI countries not for CDM countries
- + Economic management measures cannot be included like no-tilt and low tilt



# CARBON FINANCING



- × Carbon need to be combined with economic use
  - + Extensive beef farming
- × Need
  - + Business sector development
- ×



# APPROACH

---



- ✘ Grassland, degraded land, steppe inventory
- ✘ ECONET analysis
- ✘ Nature resource analysis
  - + Land use plans
  - + Socio-economic plans
- ✘ Improved management
- ✘ Public / private cooperation
- ✘ Common circular management



# CARBON FUNDING



- ✘ Carbon Land Use Change Project includes:
  - + Steppe pasture establishment on degraded arable land, and
  - + Improved arable farming
  - + Land Use optimization for (1) reduction GHG emission and (2) rural area economic development
  - + Extensive livestock farming as a (1) steppe restoration management tool, (2) rural economic development, (3) carbon sequestration enlargement tool



# PIN DEVELOPMENT



- + Eligibility studies
  - × Estimation possibilities and quantification
  - × Estimation available surface
  - × Defining tradable stock
- + PIN for Moldova (for area of 57,000 of arable lands)
- + PIN farm in Lugansk (3,000 ha both arable lands and steppe pasture, extendable till over 100.000 ha)



# PIN EXAMPLE MOLDOVA



## + PIN for Moldova:

- × Period from 2012 till 2021
- × Baseline scenario:
  - \* Annual Emission Reductions – 144,529 t CO<sub>2</sub> eq.
  - \* Cumulative Emission Reduction – 1,445,292 t CO<sub>2</sub> eq.
- × Annual Emission Reduction Value – 2,312,467 US\$
- × Cumulative Emission Reduction Value – 23,124,672 US\$
- × PDD preparation cost – US\$ 160,000



# PIN EXAMPLE MOLDOVA



## + PIN for Moldova - project description and proposed activities:

- × Annual humus losses - 0,7 t/ha/y
- × C content in soil: original 2,9% - 3,5%, status on 2000 – 1,5% - 1,7%
- × 10% of degraded arable land, or 57,000 ha
- × With extension up to 50%, or 285,000 ha



# PIN SCENARIO BASELINE



- + PIN for Moldova – technology to be employed:
  - × Baseline scenario of crops rotation:
    - \* Pea + annual grass crops
    - \* Winter wheat
    - \* Sunflowers
    - \* Winter barley
    - \* Maize



# PIN SCENARIOS 1



- + PIN for Moldova – technology to be employed:
  - × Scenario 1: crops rotation with permanent grasses:
    - \* Lucerne or esparcet + ryegrass
    - \* Pea + annual grass crops
    - \* Winter wheat
    - \* Sunflowers
    - \* Winter barley + winter wheat
    - \* Maize



# PIN SCENARIOS 2



- + PIN for Moldova – technology to be employed:
  - × Scenario 2: crops rotation with permanent grasses and green manure:
    - \* Lucerne or esparcet + ryegrass
    - \* Pea + annual grass crops
    - \* Winter wheat + green manure
    - \* Sunflowers
    - \* Winter barley + winter wheat + green manure
    - \* Maize



# PIN SCENARIOS 3



- + PIN for Moldova – technology to be employed:
  - × Scenario 3: crops rotation with permanent grasses and mineral fertilizer :
    - \* Lucerne or esparcet + ryegrass
    - \* Pea + annual grass crops
    - \* Winter wheat + 150 kg ammoniacals/ ha/y
    - \* Sunflowers + 100 kg ammoniacals/ ha/y
    - \* Winter barley + winter wheat + 100 kg ammoniacals/ ha/y
    - \* Maize + 100 kg ammoniacals/ ha/y



# PIN SCENARIOS 4



- + PIN for Moldova – technology to be employed:
  - × Scenario 4: crops rotation with permanent grasses and livestock manure (60 t/ha/y):
    - \* Lucerne or esparcet + ryegrass
    - \* Pea + annual grass crops
    - \* Winter wheat
    - \* Sunflowers
    - \* Winter barley + winter wheat
    - \* Maize



# PIN MOLDOVA



## + PIN for Moldova – Environmental Benefits & Risks:

### × Baseline Scenario - Risks:

- ★ Soil degradation, including humus content, soil structure and soil water capture ability, soil washing out etc
- ★ Area desertification

### × Project Scenarios:

- ★ GHG Emission reduction up to 2.54 t CO<sub>2</sub> /ha/y
- ★ Soil quality improvement, including water capacity
- ★ Existing degraded steppe plots less grazing pressure
- ★ Small river water quality improvement due to decreasing of soil washing out from the fields



# PIN LUGANSK



- ✘ Steppe organic matter production 60-65% underground
- ✘ Natural productivity Above ground 1.5 – 2.8 ton / ha, total 4 - 8 ton / ha
- ✘ Depth of carbon sequestration goes upto 4 meter
- ✘ 80% in the top 50 cm
- ✘ Necessity of soil cover (grasses)
  
- ✘ Profitability beef farming euro ... / ha



# PIN LUGANSK



- ✘ In present 0.4 – 0.8 ton / ha
- ✘ Carbon sequestration over 5 ton CO<sub>2</sub> per ha / y (above and underground)
- ✘ Cost restoration cost one time euro 250 /ha
- ✘ Carbon funding euro 50 / ha
- ✘ Profitability beef farming euro >euro 100-200 / ha



# CONCLUSIONS

---



- ✘ Carbon funding needs to go hand in hand with economic cost/benefit calculation
- ✘ Lack of steppe seeds Need for seed bank development
- ✘ Carbon useful for risk reduction and first investment
- ✘ Need for Green Investment fund
- ✘ Only feasible in combination with improved land use →  
**Development of land use management tools**
- ✘ Need to set up regional social structure to organise large scale Carbon sequestration
- ✘ Need for new organisational structure for protected areas



